1 2 3	MICHAEL A. RAMOS District Attorney County of San Bernardino 316 North Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004	
4	By: TRISTAN D. SVARE	
5	Deputy District Attorney (909) 387-6533 fax (909) 387-6868	
6	Àttorney for Plaintiff`	
7		
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO – SAN BERNARDINO / EAST VALLEY DIVISION	
9		.w.m.b.ivo / E/to i v/teee i biviolon
10	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA) CASE NO. FSB-1001196
11	Plaintiff,	EXHIBIT LIST / WITNESS LIST /
12	VS.	MATTERS/MOTIONS
13	CURTIS DUHART (08/27/1971),	
14	Defendant.)
15	Defendant.) DATE: June 28, 2010) TIME: 8:30 a.m.
16		DEPT: S-26
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28		

STATEMENT OF ANTICIPATED CASE

On or about March 19, 2010, defendant Curtis Duhart (hereinafter "Defendant"), was observed in the late evening hours at Secombe Lake Park in the City of San Bernardino by San Bernardino Police officers Wilson and Loreman. Defnedant was seen engaged in sexual intercourse (penile/vaginal intercourse), with victim Jane Doe (confidential per Penal Code Section 293), who is a dependent adult per Penal Code 368(h) and 261(a)(1). Victim Jane Doe immediately requested help, stating she was being raped. Defnedant provided statements, both pre- and post-Miranda, describing how he picked up the victim at Baseline and Sierra avenues in San Bernardino and how she propositioned him for sex, to which he acquiesced. The victim, showing signs to the responding Officers of obvious developmental delay and difficulties, described being followed by the defendant, and being told what to do by defendant, and complying with defendant's demands because she was incabable of doing otherwise.

Defendant was arrested, and asked for leiniency, as he "has a wife and kids." He also has numerous prior felony convictions, including a prior 'strike' conviction, a prior forgery convictim, and is presently on felony probation in case FSB902640 for H&S 11350 Felony Possession of a Controlled Substance (Cocaine Base), and admission of a prior conviction per 667.5(b). Meanwhile, the victim has been in 'special education classes' throughout high school, and presently (though born in 1988, and age 20, victim as a dependent adult qualifies to continue in special high school course through age 22), received government assistance due to her developmental disability via the inland Regional Center, and on or about April 22, 2009 was examined by psychologist Edward G. Frey, Ph.D., who determined victim had a full-sccale IQ score placing her in the range of mild mental retardation. Victim reads at a comparable level of first or second grade, and does spelling at a comparable level of second grade. While victim does maintain a job via a works program for persons with disabilities via Cal State San Benrardino, her condition is readily apparent and her social skills are stunted.

///

Defendant is charged with PC 368(b)(1) abuse/endangerment of a dependent adult, and PC 261(a)(1) rape of an incompetent person incapable of giving legal consent.

Defendant has further allegations of prior felony convictions, and a possible prior 'strike' offense.

Defendant has pleaded not guilty, and the matter is set for trial. There is a trailing citation for San Bernardino Municipal Code 12.68.020(a) for "Occupying Park After Hours," arising from this situation. Also, Defendant's case in FSB902640 is pending violation of probation.

28

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the People of the State of California, by its attorney of record, Michael Ramos, District Attorney for the County of San Bernardino, hereby identifies the following matters anticipated to be addressed at trial:

- Prior Incidents, Convictions, and Police Reports on Defendant, if any, pursuant to California Evidence Code §§ 1101 (prior acts) and 1109 (prior abuse), and 1220 (admissions by party) and 1230 (statement against interest), as well as 785 (impeaching credibility), including but not limited to:
 - a. PC 245(a)(1) felony Assault from FCH5322 / RCR16418 from 1990 in San Bernardino County (term of 4 years prison);
 - b. PC 242 Assault from 90-M-08183 from 1990 in Pomona, LA County;
 - c. PC 148.9 False ID to Peace Officer, from 1994 from MWV03238 in San Bernardino County, 10 days jail;
 - d. PC 148.9 False ID to Peace Officer, from 1994, from 94-M-06788 from Pomona, LA County, 4 days jail;
 - e. PC 118 Perjury from FVA05799 from 1996 in San Bernardino County, 11 days jail, 24 months probation;
 - f. PC 459 Burglary and PC 470(A) Forgery from FSB15114 from 1998 in Sa Bernardino County, 3 years prison;
 - g. Violation of Parole in 2000 from San Bernardino County;
 - h. Violation of Parole in 2001 from San Bernardino County;
 - i. Violation of Parole in 2002 from San Bernardino County;
 - j. PC 488 petty theft from 2002 from FSB031656 from San Bernardino County, 180 days jail;
 - k. Misdemeanor HS 11364 and HS 11550(A) in 2004 from Pomona in LA County, 180 days jail;
 - PC 487c) Grand Theft from Person in 2004 from KA06812001 from Pomona in LA County, 32 months prison;
 - m. Violation of Parole in 2007 from Pomona in LA County;

- n. HS 11550 Under the influence from 2007 from MSB079971 from San Bernardino County, 90 days jail;
- o. PC 69 Resisting Officer in 2007 from XEAKA08086601 in Pomona in LA County, 2 years prison;
- p. HS 11350(a) Possession from 2009 in FSB902640 from San Bernardino
 County, 36 months probation and 6 months jail;
- q. Others, as may occur or arise;
- 1. Evidence of Statements of Defendant, pursuant to California Evidence Code §§ 1220 and 1230, and 785, including statements made by Defendant to:
 - San Bernardino Police Department Officers;
 - b. San Bernardino County Sheriff's/Coroner's Department Deputies and Jailers;
 - c. other Law Enforcement Officers:
 - d. Family members of Defendant and/or Victim;
 - e. And to any other percipient witnesses;
- Evidence of Spontaneous Statements by Victim and/or Witnesses, pursuant to California Evidence Code §§ 1240 and 1241 (spontaneous and contemporaneous declarations),1250, 1251, and 1253 (mental or physical state) and 1290 (prior testimony), upon proper laying of foundation;
- 4. Prevention of Defense Counsel and/or Defendant from raising, arguing, mentioning, or alluding to previously briefed, litigated, and decided issues in this matter, including limitation on Defendant on discussing, asking about, or alluding to "rape shield" evidence regarding victim's possible prior sexual activity with persons other than Defendant, as per Evidence Code § 782;
- 5. Limitation on defense's calling of any defense experts, as no discovery on names, reports, opinions, nor any information has been provided regarding any experts in forensic science, DNA, fingerprints, sexual assault examinations, medical status of victim and possible injuries, developmental

- disabilities, psychology, mental retardation, competency and capacity, or any other subject for expert opinion testimony;
- 6. Prevention of testimony by defense by or about defendant's character or "good character" - aside from that provided for in Evidence Code § 1102; and following appropriate Evidence Code §§ 352 and/or 402 hearings and laying of sufficient relevancy and foundation and admissibility and form;
- Admission of Originals, or Photocopies, or Photographs, of evidence involved in case, in lieu of originals, pursuant to laying of foundation, and/or stipulation by People and Defendant;
- 8. Allowance for attorney Voir Dire;
- 9. Allowance for private, individual Voir Dire of prospective jurors, if called for;
- 10. Other Issues, as arise.

Respectfully submitted this			
28th	day of June, 2010		

MICHAEL A. RAMOS District Attorney

By: ______
TRISTAN D. SVARE

Deputy District Attorney

Attorney for Plaintiff